

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/814,851	COHEN ET AL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	Art Unit	
	NANCY BITAR	2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NANCY BITAR (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Patrick Reed ( 56,064) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 09 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mittal ( US 2005/0286764) and Dementhon et al ( Spatio temporal segmentation of video by hierarchical mean shift analysis, July 2002.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant agreed to do an examiner amendment to claim 1 in order to overcome the Mittal and DeMenthon references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nancy Bitar/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2624